

Committee Members Present: Linda Goodman, Chase Hall Bolds, Kim Wedel, Suzan Albrecht, Karen Walker, Richard Sanders, Cathy Blodger, and Mary Ann Discenza

Committee Members Absent: Molly Bright, Debbie Cheatham, Andrew Gomm, Rosanne Grif-Cabelli, Terry Harrison, Brad Hutton, Peggy Miksch, Pam Roush, Tiffany Smith-Birk, Wendy Whipple

Staff Members Present: Maureen Greer, Sharon Walsh

Topic	Discussion	Action Steps	Need for Board Action
Washington Update: Sharon Walsh	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no clear direction for the future of the health care bill. Several options remain under discussion. • Debt ceiling needs to be raised: discussion of a bi-partisan deficit reduction commission must occur • Potential jobs legislation which must deal with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Unemployment insurance; and ○ Stabilization funds. • State of the Union Address on Wednesday • Gridlock in Washington • Regulations are rumored to be in final Department clearance. Late spring could be a potential timeframe for release. 		
Transition FAQ	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There were several areas that the committee discussed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The requirement for the service coordinator to make every effort to participate in the IEP meeting ○ The implication that Part C would have to provide additional data that the LEA will need to complete B-13 in their APR (date child referred to Part C and reason for delay in 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Committee members need to review the document and identify issues that should be directed to the Board and get that back to Linda this week. 	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> referral to LEA o Requirement to define potentially eligible children o Requirement to provide Part B information if LEA does not attend the transition meeting o Conclusion that notification is referral 		
MOE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Short term: The committee agreed that ITCA should pursue legislative language that could be inserted/ introduced to provide relief related to MOE before reauthorization. • Long term: Should there be language similar to Part B that would provide a waiver regarding MOE? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Comparable language from the extended participation language from 1991. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the next call, the committee will review the Part B language and the original extended participation language. 	
Early Learning Challenge Grant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o There is no current action on this legislation since it is attached to the college funding legislation. Activity is expected this winter. 		
Reauthorization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o A plan needs to be developed to look at how issues are going to be identified that members would like addressed in the next reauthorization – the committee will look at a new issue during each call. 		
Next Call	February 23, 2010 at 3:30 Eastern		